

Vol. 17
No. 26

April 4, 1979

LAMBDA

Sudbury
Ontario

Laurentian's Student Newspaper

Two down, two to go

SGA DUMPS SECOND PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE

by Sue Sandul

James Waddell, a presidential candidate, was disqualified by Chief Returning Officer Cathie Ingram at Monday's S.G.A. meeting. Ingram said her decision was based on the fact that Waddell had nine signs illegally posted on a painted surface, the same reason he was disqualified earlier by former C.R.O. Kai Nilsen.

A week previously, Ingram disqualified current President Tim Moyle from running for President for 1979-80. At Monday's S.G.A. meeting, Ingram said Waddell's campaign manager had come to her admitting they had broken the rules. She insisted that since she began her position as C.R.O. following regulations to the letter, she

would continue to do so.

At the announcement, council member Larry Masaro walked out, vociferously calling the S.G.A. a "pop stand" and declaring that "the integrity of the S.G.A. is full of shit". Waddell retrieved Masaro to retain quorum. Masaro proposed a motion to overturn the C.R.O.'s decision, which was voted on by secret ballot. Yetta Sollak asked Council to vote "according to conscience" and Jan Roejskjaer reminded Council of the previous disqualification of a viable candidate (Tim Moyle) on a mere "technicality".

Requiring a unanimous vote to overturn the C.R.O.'s decision, the motion was defeated with seven votes in favour, four

abstentions, and one against overturning the decision.

All of the candidates for senatorial positions were acclaimed, leaving Ron McKay and Sonya Popovich in the race for S.G.A. President and Yetta Sollak and Mike Hines running for Vice-President Administration.

Couldn't keep quorum

The rest of the S.G.A. meeting was relatively tedious because of the continual loss of quorum occurring as members walked out or came back. (A meeting cannot be held unless over half the councillors are present.)

Bad feelings were present with the discussion of executive salaries. Finally agreed upon (after some opposition by Son-

ya Popovich to the Finance Committee's report) was that next year's President would receive a ten per cent raise - \$8150 including OHIP coverage, as well as half of his/her rent for the year if he/she lived on campus. The latter suggestion was designed by the Finance Committee as an incentive for the President to remain on campus, thereby offering greater accessibility to students. Arguments ensued as to whether this added incentive was

really necessary or, in fact, restrictive. The motion was passed, however.

Other motions were also passed, recommending the Vice-Presidents be given a fifteen per cent raise in total, each thusly receiving \$575 for the year, and raising the Treasurer's salary by seventeen per cent, thereby making it \$1500 for the year. The secretary's salary was raised to \$8300 a year, which will take effect immediately.

Occupation celebration AEF WINS 3RD FLOOR

by James Weaver

After over a year of negotiation and confrontation with the administration, the Association des Etudiants Francophones obtained their centralized location on the third floor of the Classroom Building, it was announced last week. In celebration and as a show of support, a number of Aef students occupied the eleventh floor of the Library Tower last Wednesday, a tactic that had drawn strong reaction when used previously.

Laurentian President Dr. Henry Best deplored the use of confrontation in attempts to come to a "reasonable conclusion". "Confrontation never works," he told Lambda. "It went out with the sixties. Throughout the negotiations, I found the leaders of the Aef to be reasonable, but certain people, I don't know if they were students or not, were egging a confrontation on."

"The occupation only delayed matters," he said "It hardened attitudes all around the campus. In order to come to an acceptable conclusion, we had to win a lot of people around. The occupation put them off and made the work that much harder."

Best stated that giving the Aef a slightly amended version of their request for Third Floor classrooms was a "reasonable conclusion" and that most people are satisfied, with the exception of the Psychology Department who bears the brunt of the shift necessary to complete the Aef relocation.

"We feel that space now allocated to the Psychology Department will be sufficient. We are, however, looking for more space to meet their needs, perhaps on on Student Street." (Student Street is the area where the Aef Offices are currently located.)

Best, who claims to have been sympathetic to the aims of the francophone students all along, said that the centralization idea is a good one, and that francophone professors are being encouraged to get involved with the centre to make the idea work.

Pub nearly shut down

Students' General Association response to the Aef victory has been mixed. Occasional candidate for President James Waddell "took a page from the Aef book" for his campaign, and said that relocating the SGA Office in the room currently harbouring l'Entre-Deux, the francophone lounge to be moved to the Third Floor, was a plank in his platform. Ron MacKay, another presidential candidate, said the Aef is to be congratulated "for beating the SGA to the punch".

However, the current SGA executive is not so impressed. They have been negotiating with the administration for the past number of months to stabilize SGA ownership of the Pub. For the past few weeks, they have been told that negotiations could not proceed because the administration did not have time to spend on such matters. With the announcement that the Aef had been

granted its requests, current SGA President Tim Moyle threatened to shut down the Pub during the lucrative lunch hours until administration made some move towards settling the Pub agreement. "If the administration has time to deal with the Aef, who represents thirty per cent of the students, they'd better have time to negotiate with us," said Moyle.

Within a day of announcing that decision, Moyle was contacted by administration officials who set up three Pub negotiation meetings for this week.

LOANS BETTER EDUCATION?

OTTAWA [CUP] - How do you ensure that the quality of post-secondary education in Canada doesn't continue to decline?

According to Secretary of State John Roberts, you raise the student loan ceiling.

Roberts' non-sequitur was delivered in the House of Commons March 21 in response to a question by NDP MP David Orlikow. Orlikow had asked Roberts if he would meet with the Council of Ministers of Education to discuss measures "to ensure Canada continues to have the efficient and progressive post-secondary education system which is so essential to any modern country."

Pointing to tuition hikes in the Maritimes which may raise tuition there to over \$1,000 per year, declines in enrolment, and underfunding of institutions and research, Orlikow said,

"Canadian post-secondary institutions are entering into a serious decline."

But Roberts evaded the question by saying he had "been meeting with the council ...for the past two years on this subject," and immediately launched into an appeal for speedy passage of a bill to change the Canada Student Loan system. The main feature of that bill is an increase in the loan ceiling.

He did not respond to Orlikow's examples of underfunding.

When Orlikow pressed further, pointing out "not many people involved with universities will believe that the question of loans is very important in the whole problem," Roberts asserted the issue of student loans was "very important."

Orlikow later pointed out that, during the two years

Roberts said he was talking with the council, the quality of education has decreased, students' access to education has become more unequal, and research programs have been massively cut back.

"There is not much more than talk to show for the efforts of Mr. Roberts," he said.

He pointed directly to the Established Programs Financing legislation under which the federal government provides funds for education to the provinces, as the main culprit, and called on the government to follow Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau's promise in 1976 to "correct a deteriorating situation if such should develop."

Orlikow had no better luck when he asked if Trudeau would meet with the National Union of Students, as he said Trudeau had promised in Vancouver March 15.



James Waddell, on-again off-again presidential candidate, was disqualified from election twice for illegally posted signs.

Beyond Harrisburg CANDU REACTOR NO BETTER

by William Bradley

The drama of a mass exodus from a potentially explosive situation has dealt a severe blow to the credibility of a nuclear future.


For Canadians living within fallout distance of Candu reactors, no doubt a tinge of nervousness was felt. Toronto, for example, lies but twenty miles from the Pickering reactor.

Testimony at the Porter Commission on Electric Power Planning revealed that the Candu's containment system was not always fully operational. Dr. Gordon Edwards, Director of the Canadian Coalition for Nuclear Responsibility stated:

"For instance, in the fourth quarter of 1973, losses of containment occurred four times as a result of airlock door

failures ... As the Pickering Quarterly Technical Report states, 'misoperation of the airlocks, particularly during maintenance, has been a continuous problem.' Other containment problems exist. In one of the Pickering units, a significant leak in the wall of the reactor building lasted for 18 months before it was detected. Also there are questions as to whether the ventilation dampers, which are normally open to the atmosphere during reactor operation, would faithfully close and lock in the event of an emergency.

"There are questions as to how well the containment could withstand violent steam explosions or hydrogen gas explosions in the event of fuel melting accidents ... If we refer to the



same two (Pickering) Quarterly Technical Reports we find two incidents during the first quarter of 1976 in which the Emergency Core Cooling System was impaired, and in the last quarter of 1973, we find the frank admission: "The expected unavailability of the emergency

core cooling system remains unacceptably high." This passage is reprinted in the Birch Bark Alliance available at the Durham Street health food store.

There is an alternative. It is estimated that the U.S. solar industry will top the \$1 billion mark next year. President Carter's Council on Environmental Quality April 1978 report indicates that, under accelerated conditions of development, the solar option could meet 25 per cent of the total U.S. energy demand by 2,000 AD and 50 per cent 25 years later.

Jobs and Energy, an Environmentalists for Full Employment study shows that solar technologies create 2 to 4 times the number of jobs as nuclear energy options. Write 1101 Vermont Ave., N.W. Washington D.C. 20005 for Richard Grossman's report.

Jobs from the Sun estimated that during the 1980s, California's solar industry could create 376,815 new jobs annually; the industry would also yield \$41.2 billion in increased income, \$19.8 billion in tax savings, \$10.1 billion savings in exported capital and \$15.1 billion in gross state product increase. Get this at CPPC, 304 S. Broadway, No. 224, Los Angeles, 90013.

Those who claim solar energy for Canada is unfeasible are wrong. First, we burn more energy, thereby making a shift to renewable fuels desirable. Secondly, the solar industry itself is in a phenomenal growth phase. Energy Mines and Resources data indicates the industry doubled between 1976 and 1977. Now it is reasonable to assume, with the new federal solar and biomass (totalling \$360 million over 5 years) programs announced last summer by Alastair Gillespie, that the Canadian solar option is about to blossom.

Hazel Henderson, author of *Creating Alternate Futures*, cites the new conservation/renewable resource industries as being one of the fastest growth sectors of the U.S. economy.

Iran and Harrisburg, Penn. seem to be the turning points. It is up to those engaged in the massive resistance to nuclear technologies to now focus their attention on the new energy frontiers ahead.

Sudbury solar programs are in the offing. Why wait?


1543 PARIS ST.

Open 7 Days
a Week

Mon. - Thurs., Sat.: 11:30 - 8:00 p.m.

Fri.: 11:30 - 9:00 p.m.

Sun.: 2:00 - 8:00 p.m.




THIS SUMMER GO TO WORK IN KODIAKS.

Chances are, your summer job won't be a "cushy" one, so you'll want a boot that's tough enough to keep on going. You'll also want a boot safe and comfortable enough to keep you going.

You'll want the Greb Kodiak job-rated boots hundreds of thousands of Canadian workers rely on.



This is the "Original Kodiak", 39570, the 8" hi-top boot with the CSA-approved steel toe. Fully leather-lined and padded with foam for all-weather wear and protection. Syllflex leather uppers and amber gum Chevron sole with stainless steel puncture plate for tougher-than-nails durability and protection. The "Original Kodiak" is also available as 39565, without a puncture plate for general duty factory work.



39546

9559

9268

39546, on the left is an economical hi-top summerweight safety boot in Greb Glutian leather. Also available in ankle height 9546. Both with puncture plates.


In middle of our line-up is 9559, a tough-stuff ankle boot. The Kodiak vulcanized bond between tan Syllflex uppers and amber gum Chevron sole gives you waterproof comfort and protection wherever you go.

For comfort and safety in general duty situations choose the Kodiak Casual "Blazer", 9268. With that easy-going styling, you'd never know there's the protection of a steel toe-cap.

Kodiak Safety Boots are tough enough to stand up to years of hard work on the grimmest job-site. That's why they're such a favourite, almost as common a sight as a hard-hat.


These are the kind of features that built the Kodiak reputation:

- 1) Leather boot uppers are tanned for waterproofing, oil resistance or glove feel.
- 2) Waterproof models are foam insulated and lined with soft leather.
- 3) Brass eyelets that won't pull out and Du Pont Taslan® laces.
- 4) CEMA high-pressure vulcanized sole for an unbreakable bond to uppers.
- 5) CSA heavy-duty and extra-heavy-duty rated steel toe-caps and puncture plates.



CSA approval as a safety boot means approval of materials and construction.

Look to Kodiak for long wearing comfortable Safety Boots wherever you see this sign.



Or ask your new employer how to get them. Your summer job will be more rewarding if you keep yourself safe. Wear all of your safety equipment at all times on the job. May is Safety Shoe Month. Start off on the right foot with a new pair of job-rated Kodiaks.

Greb Shoes Inc.,
Kitchener, Ontario



Stephenson refuses to discuss controversial P.S. Ross Report

OTTAWA [CUP] - "It's a clear case of cowardice."

That's how Ontario Federation of Students representative Allan Golombek described Ontario Education Minister Bette Stephenson's refusal to seek further student consultation on the P.S. Ross report.

Stephenson told the executive of the Ontario Colleges Commission March 21 she would make a decision on the alternatives presented by the controversial consultant's report by the end of the summer.

But, according to commission chair Don Francis, she refused to undertake a cross-Ontario tour to explain the alternatives, or to study how they would affect students' accessibility to education.

"She said she wasn't prepared to do that, and cited time as a reason."

Stephenson's timing, and her refusal to undertake the tour "shut out any chance of large-scale student reaction," he said. "It's obviously a pretty smarmy move. It's the easy way out."

Among the alternatives presented in the report are tying tuition fees to program costs, probably at 20 per cent of costs, and unpegging tuition among universities.

OFS has condemned both proposals, pointing out the first could lead to annual fee increases that could mean arts students would be paying \$1,400 per year

by 1985. If the percentage of costs is set higher than the current 13.5 per cent, it could mean even more immediate large increases.

The second, it said, could lead to a damaging two-tier fee system, where more prestigious institutions could charge premium fees and smaller institutions forced to keep fees low to remain competitive, would be chronically squeezed.

And both, it said, could make it considerably more difficult for students to get to higher education, because they could not afford the high fees.

The P.S. Ross report barely addressed that issue, Francis said, only talking about the "dollars and cents" facts. By refusing to study this issue, he

said, "Stephenson will be making a decision in the dark."

"She doesn't have all the facts - only the dollars and cents facts - and she isn't trying to get any more. The issue deserves an awful lot more study and discussion than she's prepared to give it."

Stephenson's eventual decision won't be the best possible, he said, because it will be based on "incomplete information."

Golombek pointed out that each previous education minister had gone on campuses to defend major decisions.

Calling Stephenson's action cowardly, he pointed out it would be made while the majority of students were not in classes and no MPP's were in the legislature.

Lower level looms for Laurentian

OTTAWA [CUP] - Ontario could develop a "two tiered" post-secondary education system if individual colleges and universities are allowed to set their own tuition fees, according to Ontario Federation of Students chairperson Miriam Edelson.

If tuition were unpegged, as suggested in the P.S. Ross report, there would be schools offering no frills education, and prestige schools with premium fees, she predicted.

Edelson was speaking at Carleton University March 19.

The P.S. Ross report, a consultant's report released in January by the government, surveyed three alternative tuition schemes, including having tuition set by individual institutions according to the cost of education.

If the government adopted that proposal, Edelson said, it would be harder for students to afford post-secondary education. By 1985 it could mean tuition rising to \$1,400 (about double the current level), she said.

Money doesn't matter says minister

OTTAWA [CUP] - The quality of education isn't related to government funding.

That's what Ontario Education Minister Bette Stephenson told the Ontario Colleges Commission March 21, according to commission chairperson Don Francis.

"She refused to acknowledge any relationship between the quality of education and the amount of funding. When we talked about the increase in teacher-student ratios, she said there was no relationship between quality and class size."

Class size was so pivotal in Stephenson's argument, Francis said, that she "sluffed off" any

other examples the community college student leaders gave her.

"The discussion didn't go too far at all."

University administrators, faculty, and students have all linked inadequate funding with a gradual decrease in the quality of higher education in Canada in the last five years. They point to inadequate research grants, faculty salaries that are too low to prevent the best professors from being lured abroad, equipment that cannot be replaced or repaired for lack of funds, inadequate library collections, and too few teaching assistants and lab instructors as some obvious examples.

Government wants to own you

OTTAWA [CUP] - If a contingency repayment scheme for student aid takes as long to implement as the study on it is taking to prepare, students have little to fear.

Conservative MP Jim Gillies originally promised the study last October. But it's still not ready, and now no one is willing to predict when it will be completed.

A spokesperson for Gillies' office said March 19 the study was "nowhere near finished" and she had "no idea" when it would be.

And, according to informed sources, Gillies hasn't even started the study and will not until after the upcoming elections.

The study was commissioned last summer by the Canadian Association of University Teachers, the national organization

of faculty associations. Under a contingency repayment scheme, tuition fees would rise to cover most or all of universities' costs, with loans rising to cover the increased tuition and students' living costs.

After graduation, students would repay the loans according to their income. Payments would be made through payroll deductions and could extend for up to 30 years.

Government funding of higher education would also probably be ended by this scheme, with students picking up all costs of funding universities, including research and community activities.

At its October conference, the National Union of Students voted to oppose the plan because it would leave students indebted to the government for their entire working lives.

CSA elects executive

The Chinese Students' Association held its first election on March 30, 1979. A new committee was elected for the Chinese Students for the coming academic year. The election was run by secret ballot with the help of Warren Lee, former President, and Kwan Hwa Wan, former Treasurer. The results of the election were as follows:

President	Eric Yeung
Vice-President	Judy Ho
Treasurer	Goh Mung Chwee
Secretary	Lau Kin Fung
Social Convener	Raymond Lau
Activity Organizer	Chau Siu Wah

Former President Warren Lee invited an honorary guest, Mr. Chuck Wong, to join the meeting. He was very happy to see that the Chinese students had organized themselves.

After the election, new President Eric Yeung talked about his policy for the next academic year. He emphasized building up team work within the Association. Besides, he suggested that we might apply for funds to buy some books and magazines in Chinese, or English versions of the same, to set up a Chinese Students' Association Library to share its culture with others.

SERBIANS RUN AMOK

by Patricia LePage

It was overheard on Student Street one day last week by a friend of mine that a group of Serbians are planning on taking over the Great Hall so that they'll have adequate space in which to practice their ethnic dances. My friend, who speaks a few words of Serbian, spoke encouragingly to these people so as to discover the details of their plot. Apparently they feel that they are unwelcome at L.U. and their needs are unrecognized. Why in some Serbian dances, each dancer requires a twenty-foot square in which to exercise a series of steps. Why, just vigorous clapping and kicking alone endangers the partners if

he or she hazards too close. Furthermore, each Serbian dancer requires a three-foot square while standing still due to the bulkiness of the costume alone.

My friend pointed out to them that by taking over the Great Hall they'd cause much inconvenience and hardship and the Science Cafeteria would more than meet their needs besides lessening the inconvenience to others. The Serbs, she said, were adamant in their stand! They said that they were looking to the future when the Great Hall would become a Serbian cultural center and didn't she know that Serbian enrolment was increasing. Besides, she hadn't considered

where they'd put their sleeping bags upon which they dropped exhausted after a round of dancing.

This group of Serbes admitted that they only numbered about fifteen or sixteen strong. However they felt that if they used the right tactics, they could succeed in their aims. The passion of their rhetoric alone justified their need for the Great Hall. No greater place existed where the cause of nationalism could be voiced in both its cultural splendour and passionate plea.

In view of recent events at L.U., I think we should all begin to bring our lunch.

770 LORNE STREET



TELEPHONE 875-2403



Star Bottling Works Limited

— AUTHORIZED BOTTLERS —

SUDBURY — ONTARIO

Inter-Continental
Travel

674-8936 or 674-8960

Contact us with regards to 1979 fares to Europe and special holiday rates to the Caribbean for this coming summer.

St. Andrew's Place
121 Larch St.

Sudbury, Ontario
P3E 1B8

Student Viewpoint in the Federal Election

by James Weaver

This could be the most crucial federal election in Canadian history. Leadership, for once, presents so many bad choices that issues, for a change, could take the front seat for a significant proportion of the electorate.

There is a student point of view, believe it or not, in the federal election. Most important is the Liberal policy of Established Programmes Financing (EPF), a policy from which all cutbacks flow.

Most of us are under the impression that social services (i.e. health, education, welfare) are under provincial control. While the provinces design most of their individual programmes, the federal government funds between forty-nine and fifty-five per cent of each province's social welfare package depending upon the province's position in the equalization payment formula.

Now in the good, old days, monies earmarked for, say, health, had to be used for health. Then, just prior to the last election, the feds announced that they were reducing the levels of support they had been placing into social welfare. A (quickly forgotten) howl went up, particularly from the provinces.

The provinces were quickly bought off. With the establishment of EPF, the feds made it no longer mandatory to spend monies planned for social welfare in social welfare programmes. In fact, the provinces were encouraged to spend whatever of these monies they wished in capital projects. The cynic would be tempted to point out that a lot of this "freed up" cash has gone into bolstering the images of hard-pressed cabinet ministers (all but two provincial Tories are cabinet ministers or assistants) with the sudden creation of a road or sewer project.

Effectively, money that you and I are paying for education is being placed in the province's general revenue coffers. In Ontario's case, EPF came into effect just as Ontario Hydro (the lovely people who bring you forty per cent more electricity than you can use) was threatening to drag itself and the province down from their much-vaunted triple A credit rating. Ironically, we end up getting not enough education to have too much power.



The effects of EPF are now coming home to roost. Local news addicts will no doubt recall the slough of school and hospital closings, hospital cutbacks, welfare deficits and the threatened hike in OHIP fees. The municipalities, low persons on the government totem pole, are quite justified in screaming that they have no more money without again approaching an irate tax base.

Bill Davis et al. are claiming that there are no cutbacks and they are right. They do get enough money for education, health and so on to cover the nine per cent increase in social welfare costs, and then some. The over-all increase they have given to these areas, however, averages out at five per cent (in the health field, four per cent). It's just that the province, with federal blessing, has pirated four per cent (and then some) of this money for their various and sundry arcane purposes (i.e. helping Ontario Hydro build those of-so-safe nuclear power plants).

The feds must be taken to task for this blatant misappropriation of funds. They set the ground rules and formulate the broad policy directives. What could be a more important government endeavour than social welfare? "Well, tanks and foreign-built fighter aircraft" say the feds, as they plow billions into foreign arms manufacture. "International development" they claim, as they give Inco and friends hundreds of millions of dollars to send their operations and our jobs overseas. "Building corporate confidence in Canada" they say, to the point where the effective corporate tax rate is twenty-four per cent as compared to a personal tax rate of thirty per cent, according to the most recent Statistics Canada reports. (Is that important? Well, the same Stats Can figures show that eighty per cent of the Canadian economy is controlled by less than one hundred private institutions.)

Or maybe the federal excuse is jobs. So they cut back in the social welfare areas, traditional employers of the high technology and high training Canada so desperately requires, and bring us Katamavik, a program that hires slaves at a dollar a day and a thousand dollars to those who survive to the bitter end. That project is so bolluxed up that, in a number of instances, the employees had to sit around in tents in the middle of winter with nothing to do because the materials for the projects they were supposed to be building never arrived.

Yes, there is a student point of view in this election, a point of view that is shared with most of the populace and that presents the crucial questions in Canadian politics. Wherever you cast your ballot, remember that you represent the future of this country. The way it appears to be going, your future is far from assured.



Erika Burck
LAMBDA '79



Letters

OTHERS GO UNPUNISHED

Dear Editor:

Our University is now (hopefully) prepared to hold its SGA elections. We all remember the first election was cancelled. The resignation of the initial Chief Returning Officer resulted in the appointment of an apparently qualified ex-C.R.O. The new C.R.O. approved a candidate's posters to be used in the Presidential race. These same posters had been said to be worth more than the allotted \$50.00 by the previous C.R.O., resulting in this candidate's disqualification from the first election.

This candidate, after being knocked down, rightfully, got up and ran again - in the election for president. On the 4th day after his approved posters had been put up (just 48 hours before the election) this

same candidate was canned. His posters had reached the little, wooden shack at the front gates of the University and, with no warning, no 2nd chance, was canned without definitely knowing the candidate himself was responsible for the posters' location. Tuesday morning, posters of the remaining presidential candidates were seen in the same controversial spot as the disqualified candidate's had been. Pictures taken as proof were not accepted by the C.R.O. as the candidates said they had nothing to do with the poster's placements. Posters on paint in some locations of the campus have been noticed but the owners of this propaganda have been allowed to continue their campaign. Some - or maybe all - weren't noticed by our C.R.O. The C.R.O. says

the students should bring these election irregularities to the attention of the C.R.O. But experience and competence may imply no need for students to do the C.R.O.'s difficult but low-paying job.

The C.R.O. has admirable rights and power to disqualify any candidate for valid reasons. But with all the work and effort put into the election campaign by all three original presidential candidates - should one be singled-out and condemned and the others go unpunished?

Written totally without prejudice

Gerry Brennan
Mike Walker
Adri Parisotto

P.S. Our names are known now.

"I DON'T REPRESENT U.C."

Dear Editor:

During the past couple of weeks, there have been three letters criticizing both the SGA (myself included) and Lambda. Though I thank you for your defense of the SGA, I thought I would take up the case for myself.

The most recent controversy which has surfaced in these letters surrounds the recent SGA Backgammon tournament and the fact that it ran on the same day as the U.C. Banquet. Despite claims that the idea originated on the 9th floor U.C., the fact remains that the tournament was the result of hard work on the part of Jan Roejskjaer, who proposed the idea to me before Christmas. However, other more important commitments kept us from implementing the idea until late in the year.

Now that the origin of the tournament has been settled, we come down to the timing of the event. In this respect, the tournament was approved by council approximately two

months ago. The council is made up of representatives from all of the various Schools and Colleges on campus, including U.C. It is their responsibility to report back to the respective councils on what is happening, as well as informing the SGA what's happening in the Colleges and Schools. Obviously the reps on council who sit on the U.C. Council did not do their job.

Further, Miss Haynes et al stated that it is the responsibility of the President of an organization to know what's happening in his/her constituency. A more truthful statement could not be made, but perhaps someone should inform this group of "enlightened" students that what happens in the domain of the U.C. Council has nothing to do with me. Instead of spending their time lamenting that their view of what a President is supposed to do is wrong, perhaps they should spend some time learning how the system is supposed to work. If such students are "concerned" then

maybe they should put their money where their mouths are. I don't represent U.C. or any one sector of campus. That's the job of the council reps. Since we have no nominations from U.C. for council reps, I can only conclude they wish to live next year in isolation and bear the consequences when they don't know what anybody else on campus is doing.

On one final note, I feel congratulations should be given James Weaver and the entire Lambda staff. They have succeeded in taking a debt-ridden and irresponsible paper and made it into a respected journal in the span of one year. I hope that the paper can function as well next year as it has this year. If the purpose of the paper is to report, entertain and create interest in issues on campus, you have been successful well beyond my expectations.

With these brief notes, I remain,

Yours truly,
T. Moyle
President SGA

ENROLMENT CRISIS IN GEOLOGY

by Dr. Paul Copper

We in the geology department are concerned that so few students from northern Ontario contemplate careers in geology. This shortage of manpower will have two drastic effects on Canada's health in the long term. The shortage of students in the geology program is, of course, an immediate concern to Laurentian University. We simply cannot find students to fill all the job vacancies. Companies and government surveys are desperate.

The Geological Association of Canada has just given Laurentian \$2,500 to design a career booklet that will, we hope, bring the problem to the attention of many Canadians.

by Dr. Paul Copper

Department of Geology

In a recent editorial in Science (July, 1978) Prof. G. Friedmann of the Rensselaer Polytechnical Institute, N.Y., outlined the tremendous shortage of qualified graduates in many fields of geology in North America, but especially in areas related to the search for fossil fuels (stratigraphy, sedimentology, geophysics). In another report, submitted by the Canadian Geoscience Council to the Ministry of Energy, Mines and Resources in Ottawa (November 1978), a report in part derived from the Ministry's own energy strategy and mineral planning studies (1975, 1976), it was shown that Canada

would need to spend between \$23 billion to \$48 billion by the end of this century to maintain our present energy reserves and share of the global mineral market. This indicates not only a gigantic capital investment but a discouraging shortage of university-trained geological manpower in Canada. Only too few students are contemplating lifetime careers in the earth sciences.

The Canadian nation faces a variety of critical issues that require detailed knowledge and understanding of our land mass and its finite resources. Here are some of the immediate problems that today's and future earth science students will be tackling:

(a) Energy (oil, gas, coal, uranium, geothermal).

How much does Canada have in potential and proven reserves to meet future needs? Where can we get more?

(b) Minerals.

Where do our important minerals occur, why do they occur there, and how do we get them out at economical prices?

(c) Continental shelf.

We have explored only a small portion of the shelf. Is a new energy frontier there feasible?

(d) Geologic hazards.

Cities, towns, pipelines, dams. Construction projects are exposed to earthquakes, landslides, permafrost, climatic extremes. How do we predict these hazards? What can we do

about them?

(e) Waste disposal.

We rely on rocks, water sheds and the ocean to dump our sewage, toxic and radioactive wastes. What are the geological dangers?

What is happening now?

Geology is only rarely a subject taught in the secondary school system. Most students come into contact with geology for the first time on university campuses. Usually less than 3 per cent of a university student body will ever take more than a single geology course during their academic training. The result is that there is often a surplus of summer positions particularly in the field of



'Have you heard? Culture's out; economic development is in.'

exploration programmes operated by both government and industry. This situation appears to be particularly acute at the present time. This year many third year students in geology have been offered several summer positions (May 15 to September). Permanent job offers to graduating fourth year geologists also are on the rise. Competition for permanent jobs in the petroleum industry is weak - many employers hire U.S. or overseas students. Senior positions on field parties, which used to go exclusively to graduate M.Sc. or Ph.D. students are now going to undergraduates. The junior assistants on field parties (normally 2nd

and 3rd year students) are now being drawn from all sources, including the high schools. Students with an introductory geology course, experience in the bush (handling boats, camping, etc.) and a willingness to work and learn are clearly of interest to employers in the exploration industry.

Where can students find summer jobs?

The Department of Geology keeps a file with student names on their academic background or work experience. Submit your name with this information to the Geology secretary. We will forward these names to the companies recruiting on campus or via telephone.

BIRTH CONTROL: THROW IN THE CONDOM

by Martin Stringer

I would like to make a few comments on the issue of birth control as it has been treated in your paper over the last few... dare I say...months. Is it not possible to introduce at Lambda a "rule of closure" such as in the House of Commons? I know I am probably overstating my case, but I feel this gets my point across. I am not advocating the suppression of ideas, nor the denial of anyone's freedom of speech; I am merely trying to encourage brevity and coherence in expressing one's opinion. Could Lambda not invite those interested in participating in such major, and potentially lengthy discussions to observe a set, debate-type format? I would find this approach to an issue such as birth control much more satisfying. This would prevent situations such as the present one in which we are now witnessing squabbles over historical biographies. Gentlemen, this kind of thing could go on forever!

While Mr. Teachout is pleased with the fact that "Lambda has seen fit to continue to devote its columns to the all important issues surrounding contraception..." ("Sanger: Mother of Modern Promiscuity", March 28, 1979),

I am not. All I see is a very partial treatment of the subject in which we are progressively being sidetracked, bogged down with very selective assumptions. We seem to have encountered a "dialogue of the deaf", where several parties are engaged in "simultaneous monologues". This is why I do not feel that a newspaper editorial page is the best forum for a fair discussion of this issue. Another reason: this discussion has been marked by a lack of documentation of the biological aspect of birth control, and some disputable claims (i.e. concerning the question of breast-feeding and fertility). Any attempt to approach this issue and resolve these divergent claims must be made in an environment where all of its facets can be fully examined. This again rules out the pages of your paper.

Mr. Teachout, it seems that your latest article (March 28, 1979) revolves around the assumption that the introduction of prophylactics either created or encouraged promiscuity. This is a valid belief, but try to remember the question "Which came first, the chicken or the egg?" The argument that the condom was a natural result of man's promiscuity is equally reasonable. I find this argument

valid because it views birth control as a function of man's genius for invention. How does the other expression go - "Necessity is the mother of invention"? In any case, I think this subject should obviously be regarded as a personal one, and if a person holds a different opinion from yours, it does not necessarily imply that he/she is sinfully ignorant.

I hold nothing against a man standing up for his principles, in fact it is an admirable trait. It is, however, quite another matter to conduct a crusade on an issue. There is great value in letting others speak their mind. In your latest "Sanger-banger" (my own title: March 28, 1979), I feel you have distorted a few points. Not to worry, they are neither directly related to the issue of birth control, nor to history's great women, so it should be more difficult for you to get mileage out of my comments.

Why do you use the rather dubious tactics of guilt by association? In describing the immigration of Dr. Ernst Graefenberg to the United States, you claim that this brought to North America much of the technical know-how accumulated by Nazi human experimentation programs such as selective

breeding and euthanasia. To what extent is this man to assume guilt for his country's actions? Using your criteria, Albert Einstein would be an equally undesirable immigrant, because he brought with a great deal of "technical know-how" that the Germans were to draw upon in their attempts to build the atom bomb.

You were correct in identifying the Julius Schmid agency as a private interest group, but I don't know whether your paranoia was fully justified. And this doesn't change the fact that their ad of March 21st was the best effort published so far to present an objective, physiology-oriented outline of the

cont'd. on page 8

LAMBDA PUBLICATIONS: WHO AND WHAT WE ARE

LAMBDA PUBLICATIONS is the student-operated newspaper at Laurentian University in Sudbury, Ontario. While partially funded by the Students' General Association, LAMBDA is autonomous from other University organizations, both student and administration. LAMBDA is published weekly each Wednesday during the academic year, save holidays. 3,000 copies are printed and distributed on the Laurentian campus.

LAMBDA PUBLICATIONS is a member of the Canadian University Press. As such, it is democratically controlled by the staff (listed below). Staff meetings are held every Thursday afternoon at 5:00 p.m. in the LAMBDA office, Room G-1 Student Street. Membership in LAMBDA PUBLICATIONS is open to all members of the "Laurentian University community" and is contingent upon three published contributions during the publication year.

The opinions expressed in the copy of this journal is not necessarily the opinion of LAMBDA PUBLICATIONS. Letters and submissions must be double-spaced and should be typed. Author anonymity is available but all submissions must be signed and addressed. Letters over 250 words in length may be edited. Deadlines for all external submissions and advertising is the Friday before the Wednesday of publication. Submissions made personally to the LAMBDA office may be accepted up until 5:00 p.m. on the Monday prior to the Wednesday of publication.

Advertising and other inquiries should be addressed to LAMBDA PUBLICATIONS, Laurentian University, Sudbury, Ontario, P3E 2C6, Phone 675-1151 ext. 653.

Editor	James Weaver	Business Manager	Gary O'Connor
Photo Editor	Mike Bertrand	News Editor	Nick Antonic
Typesetter	Sam Talbot	Graphics	Erika Burck
Sports Editor	Doug Rose	Layout	Sue Sandul
Advertising Sales	Jan Roejskjaer, Lorrie Leblanc		

AND Debbie Tarn, John Sturtridge, Lunice Pierre, Tim Moyle, Terry Knowles, Brendan & Brian Stapleton, the RAMMs, Smith et Jones, Anna Osso, David O'Brien, Mike Andrews, Bill Campbell, Tim Atkin, Guy Desrochers, Alex McGregor and Bill Bradley.

The questions below were prepared and handed to the candidates for S.G.A. President and Vice-President Administration. The presidential candidates were limited to two thousand words for their responses; the vice-presidential candidates to twelve hundred.

It was decided to limit the candidates to questions, as opposed to giving them a number of words to do with as they would, to better allow you, the electorate, to rate them comparatively. The questions are obviously not all-inclusive of the issues facing Laurentian students today, but hopefully raise a number of topics of concern to the student body. Some of the questions are a little abstract (dare we say tough) to allow you to judge how informed the candidates are, and how well they think and respond.

The responses have not been edited or corrected in any way, and are presented here as closely to the originals as

possible. This, we feel, will again allow you to judge the value of the candidates.

PRESIDENT

1] In order to lend stability and credibility to the Students' General Association, what role do you see yourself, as an executive, playing on Council?

2] The P.S. Ross Report makes several recommendations that will drastically affect the operations of Ontario universities. What do you feel about this report and what will you do to halt or amend its implementation?

3] Laurentian University is facing an apparent short-fall in revenues over the next few years. If programme and subject areas must be dropped or cut substantially, what areas do you see as being the better areas to trim, and why?

4] Given that we live with a number of other organizations on campus, how important do you feel co-operation with the faculty, the Association des Etudiants Francophones, and

the part-time students to be? How would you bring such co-operation about?

5] As a leader, do you believe that your constituency should be given what it wants, or what it needs?

6] What role do you see the Ontario Federation of Students and the National Union of Students playing on campus? Do you believe these organizations should play a leadership role in student politics?

7] Do you believe that the president should be eligible to run again for the post?

8] In the past, "student politics" have used a number of avenues to attempt change in the educational and social system. Do you believe those methods can be effective? What sort of methods do you think can be effective in representing the student point of view?

9] What do you see as being the major issue or issues that you will face as the chief executive next year?

ELECTION '79

VICE-PRESIDENT ADMINISTRATION

1] As the executive responsible for external affairs, what role do you see the Ontario Federation of Students and the National Union of Students playing on the Laurentian campus?

2] Given a recent SGA survey indicating that ninety per cent of the student body is unaware of OFS and NUS, what steps can you take to give these organizations a higher profile at Laurentian?

3] In order to lend credibility to the Students' General Association, what role do you see yourself, as an executive, playing on council?

4] The Ontario Federation of Students and the National Union of Students have called for co-operation between student groups and the labour movement. Do you see this as desirable and how could you bring this into effect at Laurentian?

5] Given that we live with a number of organizations on campus, how important do you feel co-operation with the faculty, the Association des Etudiants Francophones, and the part-time students to be? How would you bring such co-operation about?

6] The P.S. Ross Report makes several recommendations that will drastically affect the operations of Ontario Universities. What do you feel about this report and what will you do to amend or halt its implementation?

7] In the past, "student politics" have used a number of avenues to attempt change in the educational and social systems. Do you believe that those methods can be effective? What sort of methods do you think can be effective in representing the student point of view?

SONYA POPOVICH FOR PRESIDENT

1] I visualize the President as a contact person and a co-ordinator for all matters concerning the SGA. the President is a student representative who is readily available to people on and off campus. I believe the President must also try to assign duties. We have had previous associations lose their credibility because power was assumed by the President - if duties are divided I believe there will be chance of this occurring.

2] The report was poorly done. The ratio of student, faculty members and administrators sampled is disproportionate. Furthermore, the report advocates raising tuition fees at a time when students lack the financial resources due to the poor OSAP structure and few employment prospects. I am not in favour of raising fees because I optimistically believe that one day tuition fees will disappear. I believe that we can only halt it's implementation by banding together. I support OFS in its attempts to publicize the report ("Taking the Lid Off Tuition Fees"). Perhaps petitions and letter-writing campaigns have only a minimal effect on government but they do increase awareness. Students must start becoming involved in provincial and federal politics on an individual basis.



3] I would suggest an overall trim. I realize that the Professional schools are attracting students. At the same time, we must not forget the original purpose of the university. Many of us are here to get educated, not to get jobs.

4] Communication is the key. We must also lend support to each others endeavors.

5] There is no doubt in my mind that students must be given what they need. "Wants" are important but until awareness is increased, council must provide what it feels is necessary. Too often during this past year, council has not been approached. I believe this may change when council meetings are regularly advertised and come down from the 11th floor.

6] The external student organizations should be providing detailed research on student issues. They have the manpower and the knowledge to do this.

I firmly believe that they are important to student politics. Lobbying bodies are necessary watchdogs.

7] Yes. I also believe that students should be provided with a write-in candidate space on all SGA ballots.

8] The best way to represent the student point of view is to have a president who is enrolled in classes. A part-time president facing the same problems as full-time students.

9] I think that politicizing the students is my aim. If students would become more politically-oriented then many other issues (OSAP, unemployment, and tuition hikes) would be looked after.

MIKE HINES FOR VICE-PRESIDENT

1] & 2] First, as stated in question no. 2, 90 per cent of Laurentian students are unaware of OFS and NUS. Therefore the first step will be to make the OFS and NUS more visible to the student body. In doing so it is imperative to inform the Laurentian students of what services these organizations have to offer. Knowledge of these services will allow students to recognize deficiencies and recommend corrective action or further services needed.

3] In congruence with the previous questions, visibility of services offered by the SGA are paramount. As an executive on the SGA availability of myself and other council members, is essential to maintain cohesion within the student populus. Further to represent the views of the majority is a major concern of all SGA members.

4] As a representative of the students, it is not in my place to express a personal opinion at this time. To implement any movement it would have to represent the views of the students here at Laurentian.



5] Under the OFS and NUS, we the SGA, and the other organizations on campus, are all one body; the students. Dealing with students in general, and ignoring the minor differences between us, will bring further cohesion amongst us all.

6] To answer this question, I will ask another. How many students know what the P.S. Ross Report is? As mentioned before it is my duty as vice-president to inform fellow students of government reports. For the benefit of those who don't know what the report is about, in short it is a government study on tuition fees. I do not agree with the recommendations brought forth in it.

Individually I can do little to amend or halt its implementation. However, representing the Laurentian student body and working along with the OFS, pressure can be applied to the government. Hopefully, with gained support, the implementation of the report can be curbed.

7] As for methods of attempting to change the educational and/or social system, previous methods employed I disagree with. Such methods, as used by the Aef in securing the 11th floor are not reasonable. This type of method can only hurt the student in the long run. Such attempts reflect a poor image of the student onto the community. Moreover, methods like that employed by the Aef, are they really the way for mature, educationed students to behave?

RON MCKAY FOR PRESIDENT

1] In order to lend stability and credibility to the SGA - one must remember that regardless of one's position on council that person is only a "member" on council.

Each member of the executive cannot function to their fullest potential without the co-operation of the other members. The role of the president is to offer initiative and guidance to the council yet also serve as a spokesperson to the executive. If elected the first active role I would undertake would be to clearly define the intended goals and aims of the S.G.A. and drive to be consistent in their implementation.

2] For the readers of Lambda who are not familiar with the P.S. Ross Report - its major recommendations are: i) an increase in tuition fees (upwards of 20%) ii) category course content by cost - therefore virtually eliminating accessibility of professional schools and post graduate work to students.

The report is blatantly oriented towards the administration's viewpoint. There was if 'no' student input.

What is needed to amend its implementation? I suggest the following actions: i] utilization of S.G.A. resources. By this I mean take the students' case to the Sudbury community. Properly utilize our elected officials at the municipal, provincial and federal levels. Make use of the media - the local T.V., radio, and newspapers businesses.

3] As a student I would hate to see any of the following done away with in our school system, but as an administrator facing the alternative of keeping Laurentian open and viable or else closed, then I would implement the following area cuts: i] reassess salaries, ii] streamline the janitorial, maintenance and clerical services, iii] in the Educational sector (i.e. cuts in programs) I would be forced to use certain criteria: a) enrolment, b) certain programs - bilingual services and the professional schools. These would receive priority over other courses. The courses which do not measure up to these criteria would be reduced. And finally, to preserve this



institution, the university should offer services to the community. These services would utilize student talent (i.e. commerce students and small businesses) and at the same time gain the invaluable experience necessary for students to qualify for employment.

4] Co-operation with the other organizations on campus is of paramount importance. That is why I've included it as part of my campaign platform. In regards to the other two student organizations: for too long, the SGA and Aef have been involved in a struggle to outdo the other. I believe the student body could benefit if both organizations tried to find some common grounds on which to function. The ALPS organization has a steadily growing membership and probably in the not too distant future will emerge as the most influential student organization.

Nevertheless, the SGA shares common problems, such as increased tuition fees.

How to bring about co-operation? In one word, "communication". Simply, speak to Aef and ALPS executive members. Another method might be to include one Aef member and one ALPS member in the proposed SGA incorporation, therefore allowing them input.

5] As far as I'm concerned, what my constituents want and what they need are not necessarily different. Sure, there are the extremists who constantly lobby for dances and parties every week.

The MARKET SURVEY done by the SGA this year revealed that students have credible views such as: i] student handbook, ii] ombudsman service, iii] better use of facilities (i.e. live entertainment and publicity). Even now, before the election, the Young Canada Works project has been approached to fund a staff of three to work on the student handbook.

6] In regards to the OFS and NUS role on campus, I believe they should take a more active role. Since the Aef will be leaving Student Street, possibly OFS and NUS should take up headquarters at Laurentian. This will alleviate the current problem - when the only time students on campus hear about OFS or NUS is when one of their fieldworkers are parachuted onto campus - when the danger of their existence at this university is questioned. Neither the NUS or OFS should take a leadership role in student politics. These organizations' roles should be one - 'interpretive in nature'.

I disagree with OFS's complete abolition of tuition fees. Most students realize they must contribute some amount of money towards their education. The goal of these organizations should be to stabilize tuitions and serve as our lobbying bodies at the provincial and federal levels.

cont'd. page 8

YETTA SOLLAK FOR VICE-PRESIDENT

1] Both organizations, which represent student bodies at a government level, are responsible for keeping abreast of issues at Laurentian and dealing with them politically. OFS works at a provincial level. Just in the past year it has fought cutbacks across Ontario on University funds and OSAP grants, areas that affect Laurentian students very much. Because Laurentian is a small university located in Northern Ontario, operating costs for the university and the cost of living for students themselves are higher than in Southern Ontario. However, grants to Laurentian and Northern students are lower than average. Another concern is the "Brain Drain" that results from large numbers of Northerners going to school in the south. OFS is responsible for being informed of these and other issues, and acting on our behalf.

In November of this school year, the SGA held a referendum on campus, asking its members if they wanted to join NUS. We voted an overwhelming YES, and since then, the SGA has had federal representation through this organization. Like OFS, NUS is concerned with cutbacks on funds and grants, but on a national level. It has represented students in other areas as well: unemployment insurance, women's status in education and employment, etc.



2] The best way to give either a high profile on campus is to give them full public credit when credit is due. I will be responsible for making SGA members aware of the resources available to them through OFS and NUS. For example: OFS has prepared reports and seminars on particular student issues that have come up in the past, some of which I have already mentioned, and OFS field workers have visited Laurentian's campus several times this year.

Since we joined NUS, this organization has been sending us copies of two publications: "The Student Advocate" and "The Student Traveller". The SGA has also been receiving literature on travel and foreign work programs that are organized and made available to Laurentian students through NUS.

Fortunately, the SGA will have two vice-presidents next year, which means I will have more time to devote to NUS and OFS, and I will be able to take part in their activities and committees to a greater degree than my predecessors.

3] I think "vice-president of external affairs" would have been just as accurate a title of my position. I will act as liaison between the SGA and other organizations that it deals with, keeping them informed of SGA issues and activities and vice versa. It will be my job to maintain communication between the SGA and campus bodies including the Aef, ALPS, ISO the faculty and the University Administration. My position as liaison between the SGA and off-campus bodies (such as OFS and NUS) will be equally important.

4] Because Laurentian is in Sudbury, many of the students here come from families with direct interests in a labour union, specifically at INCO and Falconbridge. A lot of post secondary students have to deal with unions of faculty and support staff too. My first obligation will be representing student interests, and I feel that co-operation with unions will be desirable if and when their interests coincide with those of students at Laurentian. One of my duties will be keeping informed of union issues and activities, whenever they affect Laurentian students in areas such as housing, unemployment and communication with faculty and support staffs.

5] Co-operation is vital, especially in areas concerning university policy and the operation of the university itself. As I said before, I will act as liaison between the SGA and other organizations. As far as I am concerned, the best means of communication is a regular exchange of information, through newsletters, formal meetings and informal meetings.

6] The provincial government hired the P.S. Ross Consultant firm to investigate university administrations in Ontario and to recommend future finance structures. Some of the recommendations in the final report are rather hard on the average student: tuition fees paid by the student should vary according to the cost and popularity of his or her particular program. There should be drastic cutbacks in teaching staffs and university resources. Obviously these recommendations will hurt a lot of people if carried through. As vice-president of administration, I will have to make students aware of the report's implications, and their right to object. As for implementing change in the recommendations, I will work with organizations such as OFS, which draw upon support from other university student bodies across Ontario.

7] There has been a marked change over the past decade in the attitude of students who get involved in political affairs. They are more willing now to go through formal channels when they want and need changes. I am sure that student methods of change used in the late '60s and early '70s were effective on a short term. However, I believe that maintaining a high standard of education and making it available to everyone who deserves it is a quiet and undramatic process. We can use channels such as OFS and NUS for example, by contributing input into these larger, more influential groups that lobby politically on our behalf. And we can maintain close ties of communication between the general student body and other campus groups, such as the faculty, support staff and administrators of this university.

UNICORNIA

by Dale van Zant

As the days grow longer and free time is on the wane, non-academic activities seem even more precious. In this perspective, floors 4, 5, 10 and 11 took full advantage of the situation and spent an evening at the Holiday Lanes followed by a cruise on the Starship Jacuzzi.

At the dance Saturday the Great Hall was beset by a clutch of punk rockers from sixth. People they knew grabbed an excellent opportunity to ignore them, though, for these girls, it was the best they ever looked.

The U.C. Spad formal is to be held April 10 in suite 802 of residence. Tickets are \$10 per couple (open bar and dinner

provided) and music is provided by HABS. Tickets are available from John Hamilton.

Last Tuesday, a fine display of soccer talent was presented by U.C. In a quarter-final match, Croatia saw victory elude them in a marathon affair. They lost 3-2 to Huntington in triple overtime. In a semi-final game Whitecaps demonstrated heart and determination by rallying from a two-nil deficit to defeat Black Pirates 4-2 in overtime. They now play Faculty in the final.

Sunday night at Wiley's, the lassies of second sold themselves (figuratively speaking) to the rest of the residence. Bidding was fierce as many offers (in dollar signs that is)


brought gasps from the crowd. Some volunteers from eighth displayed and sold their wares all for the sake of the cause.

P.S. Rand - I'm sorry but the line "come up to my place and look at my etchings" just doesn't work anymore.

Eck - If you were to move any slower when pushing the baby carriage one would think you were playing in net.

Pin - Leaving your phone number with the accordion player at Hugh's is not exactly the accepted way to tip but I'm sure he'll make out OK upon collecting.

Amos - With all your mingling perhaps you should commission K-Tel to produce a collapsable tea pot so it will be easier to handle.



cont'd. from page 7

7] As a presidential candidate, perhaps I should play politician and offer no comment. Yet, I believe the student body should be informed as to what is occurring.

In regards to eligibility of an SGA President to run for re-election: conflicting reports are numerous, certain persons believe the SGA President is not a member of the organization he heads. This is absurd.

While, other state past precedents both for and against a president re-running.

Others state as SGA President can hold office for two terms but there is a one year interim period. I believe that the President should have the prerogative to re-run if he wishes. This is not to create a dynasty. Certain SGA presidents due to the controversial nature of their rule would not be inclined to re-run.

If the past SGA President has done a reasonable job there should be no reason prohibiting him/her from re-running. The issue here may be settled by stating that a candidate for the SGA presidency may only run twice. The SGA presidency should be limited to two terms at most. The current situation is unique, yet this problem will surely arise again in the future.

At this time, a salary increase is also proposed for the presidential office. The proposals range from a 10 per cent increase up to a 30 per cent increase. The proposals also include payment for residence on campus. No matter what the results of election are, the SGA presidency will include a salary raise. The current SGA Council is responsible for the proposed and later instituted salary increase. You the electors are entitled to know.

8] In the past, "student politics" have used a number of avenues to attempt change. I do not believe such methods as a student walkout are feasible. The sorts of methods that I think would be effective would be: i] petitions, ii] use of our elected representatives at the municipal, provincial and federal levels, iii] use of media - T.V., radio, and newspaper.

9] The Major issues that I believe the chief executive would face next year would be: i] to establish a working relation with the Aef and ALPS and other SGA members, ii] to increase student services by continuing on the base work which has been done regarding the Pub agreement, incorporation, the establishment of student lounges and the publication of a student handbook, iii] but above all, the major issue is to increase student participation in "student politics".

Birth Control

cont'd. from page 5

methods of birth control open to women. These ads are subjective, and it is to their interest to separate the moral implications from the condom issue, but as long as we know this, we are in a position to judge for ourselves. Thank you, Charles, for your first letter drawing this point. But since then, you have just replaced their interests with your own.

Let me echo a previous request - why can't this subject be approached in a more complete, discrete and fair manner? What ever happened to the open forum idea as proposed by Larry Hunter in his article "Condom - Nuff Said"? There is no need for us to flaunt our sexuality, wearing it like a badge - nor our conservatism.

As an expression of our sadness and concern, the School of Nursing has established a trust fund for Ronda Cragg which will be available to her when she reaches legal age. If you wish to make a donation, please forward it to the Secretary, School of Nursing. For further information, call the School - Local 239.


CALENDAR OF CULTURAL EVENTS

APRIL 1979

WED. 4	THURS. 5	FRI. 6	SAT. 7	SUN. 8	MON. 9	TUES. 10
Lectures for senior cjtizens <i>A window to geological underworld</i> Dave Pearson - 2 p.m. in Huntington College, classroom 2	Conférence et discussions pour les retraités. - 14h00 à l'auditorium de l'Université de Sudbury. <i>Les causes du mouvement indépendantistes</i> J.E. Havel MUSAC - Afternoon film series <i>Mountain: The work of James Spencer and Underground</i> - 1:15 to 1:45 p.m.	Laurentian University presents <i>The Allegri String Quartet</i> - Since 1954, The Allegri has been one of the world's leading string quartets. - Fraser Auditorium - 8 p.m. - Tickets on sale at the L.U. Bookstore and at the door. - Students - \$3.00 - L.U. Staff - \$4.00 - General admission \$5.00		Laurentian Film Society presents <i>J.A. Martin, Photographer</i> (Canada, 1977) directed by Jean Beaudin with Monique Mercure and Marcel Sabourin. In the 1977 Canadian Film Awards, <i>J.A. Martin</i> won six awards, including: Best Picture, Best Direction, Best Editing and Best Actress. MUSAC - The Canadian Puppet Festival presents <i>Pinocchio</i> - 2:00 p.m.		MUSAC - <i>Egypt in the Age of Tutankhamun</i> by Allyn Kelley

Laurentian University Museum and Arts Centre (MUSAC) - March 27 - April 15 - **NORART** - Norart was conceived as an annual exhibition open to all living and working in Northern Ontario. The winning exhibition comprises the winning entries, John St. off the Paris-Drinkwater overpass. Tuesdays and Fridays, 12:00 noon to 9:00 p.m. Other days, 12:00 noon to 5:00 p.m. Mornings by appointment. Telephone 675 - 1151, ext. 400.

Send calendar insertions to J. Pilon in L-1129 or call 675-1151 extension 495



Hockey and high culture

by Alex McGregor

That was a great hockey game last Wednesday night. It was the final of the Consolation round of the Intercollegiate powder puff hockey between those old rivals, Thorneloe and the University of Sudbury. It was a good hockey game. Not a good, women's hockey game but a good hockey game.

The game had everything. There was Nancy Warren playing superbly on an injured foot. There was the Thorneloe captain Beth Maiden skating better than any male hockey player at Laurentian. Tiny Sandra MacFarlane buzzing in on the Sudbury net like a hummingbird. There was wave after wave of red and white shirted strokers attacking and counter attacking the Thorneloe defence.

Two goals by Beth Maiden on passes by Rene and Julia Underhill, looked very slim against the relentless University of Sudbury attack. The crowd cheered their favourites. The Thorneloe rooters implied that University of Sudbury had not progressed much beyond the stage of oral fixation. The University of Sudbury supporters made reference to a recent decision of the Anglican bishops on ordination of minority group clergy. This latter cry inspired the University of Sudbury to score a splendid goal, while Crocetta Scavuzzo lurked in the penalty box. Fortunately for Thorneloe, time ran out and the Thunderbirds in their first year of operation were the 1979 Consolation Cup winners.

There were no losers in the

game. Only winners, who had given their all for their college. The players on both sides realized this and lined up to congratulate one another. Then it happened. A University of Sudbury player collapsed with exhaustion. Instantly the post-game hi-jinks were forgotten. Sue Lee and Judy Meadows, who had played sterling games for Thorneloe, became not hockey players but nurses. In the finest tradition of their noble profession, they tendered first aid. It was not until the University of Sudbury player was back to normal that Sue and Judy lined up for the post-game team picture.

The sad event had united all of us. There were no University of Sudbury concerns - no Thorneloe concerns, only mutual prayers and worry. "Too bad" I thought "that it takes tragedy to unite mankind". Why can't we all get together and rejoice?

This thought was in my mind Thursday night when there was a glittering assemblage to meet author Carole Shields. Carole had been brought to Sudbury by Women's Studies, the Canada Council, and Canadian Studies. The Thorneloe Social Centre was lit by a glowing fire and the smooth ambiance created by well-dressed, intelligent ladies and gentlemen. There was Joan Mount, Ann Thorburn, Edelgard Mahant, Faith Barbeau, Trustee Evelyn Koski, Board of Governors' Frank Clumpus and Mrs. Clumpus, former President of Laurentian Stan Mullins, Don Wallace of

the English Department. It was a scene directly from Lord Byron's description of the ball before the Battle of Waterloo.

Yet Carole Shields spoke not of battles. Her poetry and prose is chiseled, disciplined and sparse. Hers is the voice of concerned middle class Canadian women. Her flinty prose and tender sensibilities take the reader to the landscape of women's unknown country.

Dr. Headon, coordinator of Women's Studies, introduced Carole Shields with his witty Oxfordian perceptions. Later, in conversation with Ted Heaven and Senator Deborah Knuff of the Women's Teachers Association, Dr. Headon described this superb Canadian writer as a "magnificent miniaturist."

Carole Shields' all too brief visit to Thorneloe and Laurentian was immensely significant. Carole represents the rising excellence of Canadian literature. Canadian writers are now as good as any writers anywhere. It does not have to be an unpleasant event that unites us. The goodness of concern at the hockey game and the goodness of excellence at the poetry reading unites us. Evil divides. The Good unites. It is as simple as that.

This Thursday, Stan Mullins of Laurentian's English Department will speak at our 5:30 Eucharist. Professor Mullins topic is appropriate for Lent. He will talk on "Violence". Won't you all come? If Stan's friends all turned up, St. Mark's Chapel would be overflowing.

TRANS TRIVIA

by Smith et Jones

The famous Trans twosome (or should that be infamous TT?) are back once again (boomerang effect) to unveil the mysteries surrounding the Trans banquet. Natch, Smith and Jones brought their appetites along (being food connoisseurs and gourmet cooks when they are not compiling dictionaries and glossaries in their spare time). Our professional opinion m-m-m-good! (Traduction: m-num; n-num). There were lots of familiar faces: survivors of the Québec trip, les professeurs, and 4th year irregulars, oops,

regulars. Terry and Joanne started the entertainment off with a display of their creative talents. (We shall refrain from making any comment). On a décerné des cadeaux à nos professeurs pour leur patience et leur courage. La musique était fantastique grâce à Ted Vance et compagnie. La chanson qui aq vraiment emballé tout le monde a été "Rasputin" - musique idéale pour danser à la chaîne. Notre "disc Jockey" nous a même joué des chansons en français et même quelques gigues. But the jivers of the evening were Professor Mann-

ing and his wife who "out-jived" (neologism) "Danny and Sandy". The evening ended with a few choruses of notre chanson-thème, "Y en a pas comm' nous". Not only do we have a theme song, but how about this for a motto: "If you can't boggle them with brains, bamboozle them with bullshit." (merci, A.F.)

All bullshit aside, did you get a chance to hear the two excellent lectures given by Igor Maltchouk?

S. & J. signing off now. A la prochaine.

WILEY'S FEMUR

If you think this Sunday past at Wiley's was good, wait 'till you see our last week!

For Wiley's 2nd last week this year Sika Eliev led off with songs composed by herself and other members of the family, to the accompaniment of some very well played guitar - a word of thanks to Yvan and Liz Plante who showed up to accompany Sika on the last two songs; Bob Hamilton masterfully overcame some technical problems to serenade all the male chauvinists in the audience (went well with the slave auction afterwards, I thought) and finished off with Taxi, an old favourite. Corner Stone - a gospel bluegrass (?) group - appeared next, followed by some horrible jokes and very good music. Fox on the run,


anyone? Thanks to all six of you for a really good night.

Next week will be our last this year, of course, and please note that since we have some extra acts we'll be starting early - doors open at 7:30, entertainment at 8:00. Amos Lafleur will be accompanying Ellen Corsini, Lynne Lessard, and Corine Thomas; a new import by the name of Bob Sloan has offered to play; Neil Lefaive will be back; and Liz and Yvan Plante will also make a reappearance. Be there! It's your last chance!

Wiley




WE HAVE FRUIT ON THE BOTTOM OF OUR YOGOURT...



AND MONEY ON THE BOTTOM OF OUR AD...

This handsome, Canadian-designed coupon is worth 20¢ off on your next purchase of Beatrice Fruit Bottom Yogourt. Nice, aye?

And Beatrice Fruit Bottom Yogourt is all-natural yogourt. Pure yogourt. With no artificial preservatives. And tucked away under all that good eating is more good eating—a pure, fresh-fruit bottom you mix yourself. In nine different flavours. Good stuff. Try it.



20¢

SAVE 20¢

20¢

on your next purchase of

Beatrice Fruit Bottom Yogourt

MR. DEALER: We will reimburse you for the face value of the coupon, plus normal handling charges, provided you and your customers comply with the terms of the offer. Invoices for sufficient stock to cover coupons redeemed must be shown on request. Applications for redemption from principals only.

For redemption, mail to:

Beatrice Foods,
P.O. Box 3000, Saint John, N.B.
E5L 4L3



20¢

1730389H

STORE COUPON

SPORTS SCOREBOARD

IRANIANS MAY BE BANNED

by Ken Bahnuk
Business & Facilities Officer
Intramural Staff Advisor

A sports commentary which appeared in the Wednesday March 28, 1979 issue of Lambda has prompted some necessity for a reply.

The unpleasant incident of Thursday, March 22, 1979 occurring during the quarter-final intramural indoor soccer match between the Laurentian University Iranian Students (L.U.I.S.) and the I.S.O. Black Pirates has obviously created some controversy...controversy for which I feel there is little foundation.


First of all, I think I should establish my presence at the game site. A member of the administrative staff of the Division of Physical Education acting as intramural program staff coordinator/advisor for the Department of Physical Education Services as well as project (sport) Supervisor to the indoor soccer convenor and, in addition, in my capacity as facilities officer, my attendance at the game was as much dutiful as it was as an interested onlooker.

No question in my mind, the game had all of the potential of being an excellent contest, featuring the highly skillful and positional play of two worthy adversaries. Although circumstances would have it, it was unfortunate that these opponents should have had to meet so soon in a sudden death contest.

Nevertheless, despite the importance of the game, the behaviour of certain Iranian team players was inexcusable. Apparent frustration over their plight, trailing 2-1 and then

later 3-1 in the contest, aroused irrational behaviour and dangerous play on their part.

Not all could be faulted for this display, for it is certain that the majority of the Iranian student players conducted themselves in the spirit of intramural competition, displaying good sportsmanship and enjoying the game for the sake of actually participating.



But that enjoyment had to be marred by the insidious harassment by fellow teammates, possibly more skillful than themselves; obviously impatient with passes which went unconverted or astray and scoring opportunities missed.

The play of Mansur Kavianpour, in particular, was intolerable. One of his fouls resulted in a goal against on a penalty shot. And shortly after the disqualification of one of his teammates in the second half, he committed another foul which likely would have brought about his own expulsion had it not escaped the view of the referee. With the passage of time, his play became more and more aggressive.

As for the Iranian goal which was disallowed, there was no other option on the official's part.

No denying, the ball was bobbled by the Black Pirates'

net minder as it deflected dangerously off the wall into his crease. However, the ball entered the net with Kavianpour standing on the mat (marking the crease) as he bulled his way into the crease area. Rule 11, contained in the regulations for conduct of play (of which the Iranian team had a copy), makes specific reference to situations in which goals are scored with attacking (offensive) players on the mat (goal crease) - "goal will be

disallowed".

Not only was the official right in his determination of the call, on the basis of this rule, but he could have disallowed the score as Kavianpour also fouled the goaltender in the process of propelling the ball into the net.

It was after this disallowed goal that the Iranian side stormed off the court in defiance, further evidence of their poor sportsmanship and disregard for the rules of play as enforced by the official in charge.

Before leaving the court, Kavianpour kicked the game ball in disgust striking one of the mercury vapour light fixtures, some 25 ft. above floor level, with sufficient velocity as to dislodge the protective lamp cover. It should be noted that,

cont'd. on page 11

A few words in my defense

by Jorn Peterson

A very interesting article was written in last week's Lambda by Hassan Kahrobai under the title "Sports Comment". The article referred to a play-off game between the Black Pirates and the L.U.I.S. (Iranian Students) for the intramural indoor soccer championship, played March 22nd. Since my name was mentioned in this article, I would like to add a few comments.

First of all, a professional soccer player should know better than to begin an argument with the referee. There was no doubt as to where the foul occurred and the foul committed was so serious that if a penalty shot would not have been awarded, it would have been an invitation to more foul plays.

Yes, this made L.U.I.S. very upset, and yes, they did lose control when, during an attack in the second half and while playing shorthanded, Fabio Guarducci, the Black Pirates' goalie, lost the ball in front of the goal and Mansur Kavian-

pour scored. However, the goal was disallowed because the ball was bouncing on the mat when Mansur Kavianpour scored, and also, Mansur Kavianpour in his scoring attempt was standing on the mat. Every team was given two copies of the rules and in paragraph eleven it says: "A player from the attacking team is never allowed to be on the goal crease designated by the mats. Should a player land on it, or place his foot over it or jump over it when he or one of his teammates scores, the goal will be disallowed. In other words: Stay off that mat!!" I do not think that any further explanations or comments are necessary.

Later in the article it says: "There were so many hand balls, fouls and penalties in

favour of L.U.I.S. which were completely ignored by Mr. Petersen". Also, Hassan Kahrobai mentioned that he had proof of this statement. Interesting enough, I only have Hassan Kahrobai's word for this. I have not yet talked to any of the spectators, nor any member of the L.U.C.S.A. (Chinese Students) soccer team, nor any member from the Black Pirates who can confirm this statement. It is certainly true that nothing seems fair when you lose.

True, I did negotiate with one of the members of the Faculty Select team throughout the game. This member was Ken Bahnuk who, besides being



REDEMPTRIST FATHERS
VOCATION DIRECTOR
7 HOWLAND AVENUE
TORONTO, ONTARIO
M5R 3B2
PHONE [416] 961-4802

ESSAYS typed with care.
Reasonable rates. Phone any time. Mrs. Ruth MacDonald.
675-6126.

Overtime marks soccer playoffs

by Jorn Peterson

The play-offs in indoor intramural soccer continue with more excitement than ever. Overtime play was necessary in two games; one game went as far as to a sudden-death situation in penalty shots.

Last Tuesday (March 27) the U.C. Croatia played Huntington's Huffers in a game that became the longest game in indoor intramural soccer's history. After regular time (2 x 25 min.) the teams were tied at one all with goals scored from Rob Dionisi of U.C. Croatia and Ian Henniger of Huffers. The teams then went into a 2 x 5 minutes overtime game, but superb goaltending from Toby Rasmussen of U.C. Croatia and Ed Bernacki of Huffers prevented the opposing teams from scoring. Each team was then given five penalty shots so that a decision could be found. But again Toby Rasmussen and Ed Bernacki showed excellence in the goals. They each only allowed one goal to be scored. Scot Rintoul of U.C. Croatia and Gary Maunu of Huffers were the only two who connected on their penalty shots. Dead-locked at two all a sudden death situation was necessary. Each team was now given one penalty shot at a time. Ed Bernacki executed the first penalty shot for the Huffers, and not only did he score to give the Huffers a 3-2 lead, but then saved the next penalty shot executed from U.C. Croatia to give the Huffers a 3-2 win and a place in the semi finals against Faculty Selects.

In the first semi-final game the Black Pirates played U.C. Whitecaps. The Black Pirates went into an early 2-0 lead, and in the belief that the battle was won, they went into the defensive role, not knowing that U.C. Whitecaps would come back

twice as hard. Before second half was over Sean Kearney and John (Chike) Bogdan had tied the game at two all. Going into the 2 x 5 minutes overtime game, the U.C. Whitecaps showed more strength and after the first five minutes Sean Kearney had given the U.C. Whitecaps a 3-2 lead. Eventually John Hamilton of U.C. Whitecaps scored to give the U.C. Whitecaps a 4-2 win and a spot in the final championship game.

The U.C. Croatia-Huffers quarter final game was originally scheduled for Thursday the 22nd of March. However, both teams requested that the game be postponed till Tuesday March 27 and agreed that the winner would play Faculty Selects in the second semi-final game the same night. Therefore Huntington's Huffers found themselves playing two games that same night. In this second game, the semi-final against the Faculty Selects, the effect of the long and tiring game against the U.C. Croatia showed early in the first half, as the Faculty Selects jumped into an early 4-1 lead, with Lou Soligo (2) Bob Wanzel (1) and Billy Harris (1) connecting for the Faculty Selects and Jean Blais scoring a single goal for the Huffers. The Huffers never gave up and more big scoring opportunities occurred in front of Rob Perfect, goaltender for the Faculty Selects. However, the Huffers did not have any luck in their attempts, so when Greg Zorbas (3) and German Sanchez (1) scored another four quick goals, the game became a one-way affair. This placed the Huffers in the consolation final against U.C. Whitecaps.

Both games have been rescheduled and will be played Tuesday April 3rd, at 8:00 and 9:00 p.m. respectively.

facility officer, is the intramural coordinator and my advisor for this project. What we were "negotiating" about was the two teams performances and the behaviour of some members of the L.U.I.S.

Poor sportsmanship is one thing, but the misuse of the English language is another, and the degree of misuse, in this case towards Ken Bahnuk, is at not time acceptable. It is appropriate for the members of the L.U.I.S. to give Ken Bahnuk an apology for what was said to him, and the

disrespect shown to the Phys. Ed. department and its facilities.

As the convenor of the intramural indoor soccer tournament 1979 I do have some personal interest in the atmosphere surrounding it. First of all, it is my responsibility to plan, promote, conduct and report on the activities. Secondly, I will not allow foul play and misuse of the English language to spoil the "Spirits of the Intramurals". We are all there to have fun, are we not?



LAPPAS BROTHERS
FOOD SERVICES LTD

Serving Laurentian In:

- The Great Hall
- The Pub - The Science II Caf.
- Physical Education Bldg.
- The School of Education

SERVING STUDENTS IS OUR PLEASURE

J'Vees surprise Celtics to grab basketball title

by Ken Bahnuk

The Sudbury Men's Basketball League concluded its 78-79 season with the sudden death championship final game being played Sunday afternoon at Sudbury Secondary School gymnasium.

In a very exciting and dramatic contest, the J'Vees capped off a second half come-back to edge the Parklane Celtics 70-69. Trailing by 12 points at half-time, the J'Vees battled back and held off a late surge by the Celtics to win their second championship title in three years.

For the J'Vees, who finished third overall in the regular

season standings, it was a truly team effort as 9 of 11 players contributed in the scoring. Robin Tiplady, with 19 points, topped all scorers. Marino Vereecke with 15 points and Joe Green with 13 points aided the J'Vees cause.

For the losing Celtics, who finished regular season play with a 12 win 3 loss record and a first place finish, Tino Lenti paced the attack with an 18 point performance. Dan Cattapan and Vic Dumas chipped in with 14 points and 9 points respectively.

The J'Vees went to the bench early and often and their edge in player numbers seemed to take its toll, as the Celtics appeared

to tire midway through the second half. The J'Vees played a man-to-man defence throughout while the Parklane Celtics stuck primarily to a zone defence until late in the game, when, trailing by 6 points, they were forced to put more pressure on the ball.

With approximately two minutes to play, Tino Lenti picked up his fifth personal foul and his offensive potency was dearly missed by the Celtics at a very crucial time.

Overall the Celtics picked up a total of 26 team fouls as compared to the J'Vees with 22. The J'Vees were 12 for 24 from the free throw line, while the Celtics were good on 7 of 17 attempts.

The J'Vees gained the final by upsetting the second place Lougheeds in one semi-final match-up. In the other semi-final, Parklane defeated the Wolves 79-72.

Bears top hockey

MONTREAL [CUP] - The University of Alberta Golden Bears overwhelmed the Dalhousie Tigers 5-1 March 18 to become the only team besides the University of Toronto Blues ever to win back-to-back Canadian Interuniversity Athletic Union (CAIU) championships.

Most of the Bear hockey players only know the refrain of the song "we are the champions", but it didn't bother them as they belted it out over and over again on the trip back to the hotel after the title game.

The victory over the Tigers was almost anti-climactic after a hard fought game on Friday evening against the Great Plains representative (Regina) in what was their toughest victory of the three.

An awesome Bears' power-play and a strong forechecking

display kept the weary Dalhousie squad reeling for most of the game. Alberta scored goals on three of their first four man-power advantages including two in the first period by tournament MVP Dave Hindmarch to win the game going away against the overmatched Tigers.

The Bears also got a first period marker from designated hitter Ted Olson, his third of the tournament. Dalhousie's only tally came early in the middle period and was a bit of a fluke as Bear defenceman Larry Riggan redirected a fluttering shot past a screened Ted Poplawski and into his own net.

Jim Causgrove also got his third goal and John Devaney with his fourth rounded out the scoring in the period and the game.

cont'd. from page 10

as a direct result, the next day, physical plant electricians, by necessity, wasted approximately three hours labour time constructing scaffolding, realigning the fixture and subsequently dismantling scaffolding.

Prior to their exit from the gymnasium, the Iranian team players were warned in an outburst by myself that they were not to have a soccer ball in the gymnasium in future without proper supervision or in the absence of a controlled situation.

Ensuing discussion on possible reprimands went so far as to consideration of invoking a one year suspension of the Iranian team from further intramural soccer competition, and, if not the team, possibly certain individuals of that team.

In defence of the official, Jorn Petersen, who is also the convenor for the indoor soccer program, he is to be commended for the game which he called and the decisions rendered. Amazingly enough he demonstrated much restraint and patience in handling what became an intolerable situation and the abusive behaviour on the part of certain Iranian team players.

Any aspersions to the effect that he may have been at all prejudiced or biased in his conduct of the contest are totally unjustifiable.

I would also suggest that an apology is in order, which, not forthcoming, would lend more support to a decision of banning an Iranian entry as a team in any future indoor soccer program.

In conclusion, it is unfortunate that "political, ethnic, creed or colour" differences should become a point of conjecture at the intramural level of athletic competition. However, it seems that some of the apprehension expressed by one of the Physical Education staff members towards accepting entries on the basis of strictly "ethnic or nationality" ties has, in fact, surfaced.

Hot and Colt



Have a great summer!



**Bring your Phone to the Temporary
Bell Phonecentre on campus by April 27th.**

The Temporary Bell Phonecentre is located in the Arts Building by the Royal Bank.

It's open 2:00-5:00 pm. Thursday, April 12, Wednesday, April 25, Thursday, April 26, Friday, April 27.

Bell phonecentre